1	NOW THEREFORE, THE PAR	RTIES	STIPULATE AND REQUEST AS FOLLOWS:
2	(1) That, pursuant to	Fed. R	a. Civ. Proc., rule 41, the above-entitled action
3	pending in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Margaret Picus		
4	vs. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. (Case No. 2:07-cv-00682-PMO-LRL, consolidated with Case		
5	No. 2:07-cv-00689-PMO-LRL and Case No. 2:07-cv-00686-PMO-LRL) be dismissed		
6	in its entirety with prejudice.		
7			
8	Dated: January 31, 2011	BLU	MENTHAL, NORDREHAUG & BHOWMIK
9		By:	s/Norman B. Blumenthal Norman B. Blumenthal
10			Attorneys For Plaintiff
11	Dated: January 31, 2011	SOLII	RE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY (US) LLP
12	Dated. January 31, 2011	SQUI	RE, 57 HADERS & DEMI SET (05) LEI
13		By:	<u>/s/ Mark Goodman</u> Mark Goodman
14			Attorneys for Defendant Wal-Mart Stores
15	Dated: January 31, 2011	COZI	EN O'CONNOR
16	Bacca. variatify 51, 2011	COL	
17		By:	/s/ Richard Fama Richard Fama
18			Attorneys for Defendant Del Monte
19	Dated: January 31, 2011	DLA	PIPER US LLP
20			
21		<i>y</i> • <u>—</u>	/s/ Mary Gately Mary Gately Attorneys for Defendant Menu Foods
22			
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24			Pay m. On
25	Dated: _February 1, 2011.		•
26			Hon. Philip M. Pro Judge, United States District Court
27			
28			1